|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Bank observations** | **Our note** |
| 1 | Searching for LC by its reference, not by the customer ID. | Searching for LC by its reference is already implemented, you can retrieve each LC by its reference.  If bank team means in enquiry event, we addressed this point in previous session on 27/5/2024 and it is still pending. |
| 2 | reject button is not available | Reject button is available in all event, and we prefer if you at least mention your observation ‘in particular’ in which event. |
| 3 | Warning for each error when fill the event in all stages. | There are error validations message for each illogical entries, however if you want to customize the appeared message to be familiar for you, we can customize it, and we asked you to give us the wanted messages if you have any doubts with the current messages. |
| 4 | Acknowledgment MT730 is not available. | We addressed it in a previous session on ‘20/2/2024’, and it is still pending. |
| 5 | Charges are not available. | It is configuration level (not bug or error), anyways we are making configuration for our modules, and will configure import Lc soon. |
| 6 | Bank team think lodgment event is not efficient because it does not contain any swift messages. | Regarding to this point, it Falls under the practice optimization.  Sure, the current implementation of the claim receiving and the payment actions and bill refusing is different from what the team is used to in his current application, but it meets the business requirements,  Any way we addressed this point in a previous session and the possibility of implement it as the team want will be discussed.  regarding to MT734, it is implemented but in separate event, and about the lodgment entries, it contains accounting entries but for the case in which the documents are non-complied.  the accounting entries movements are related to the new logic of our application but the most significant to be mentioned that it meets TF business requirements. |
| 7 | Bank team asked for the field 77B in MT 734 to be drop list. | Already resolved and set with the appropriate options according to the swift MT734. |
| 8 | Bank team asked to have two swift messages in the case of non-complied documents: MT734, MT799. | MT734 is already implemented in advice of refusal events.  for MT799 message is implemented separately from the point of view that it is common message in all modules.  any way we discussed it to add MT799 with the same event. |